Volume 5 Issue 1

Research & Reviews Dn
Electrochemistry

RREC, 5(1), 2014 [05-13]

Experimental investigation in charge superposition principle determination of free convection heat transfer coefficient in aqueous solution containing different concentration of salt around a cylinder with constant heat flux

Alireza Pakdel1*, Sadaf Valinejad 2

¹NationalIranianOilProducts DistributionCompany (NIOPDC), FiruzabadZone, Fars Regions,

Firuzabad,Fars,(IRAN)

²Student Research Committee, School of Pharmacy, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, (IRAN) ^Eñmail:m.apakdel@yahoo.com

The aim of his research is design of laboratory instrument. So, this investi-
gation provides guidelines for the laboratory tests to measure the free heat
transfer coefficient of a cylinder which is released to the environ The aim of his research is design of laboratory instrument. So, this investi gation provides guidelines for the laboratory tests to measure the free heat transfer coefficient of a cylinder which is released to the environment of different electrolyte solutions with different concentrations. First, a special laboratory device designed and calibrated to be made. The used electrolyte solution samples of sodium chloride are with different concentrations of 10, 20, 40, 60, 80 and 100% as mass percent. Second, experimental curves are drawn in each case which show Nusselt numbers according to Rayleigh number. The obtained results are compared with experimental results obtained by Sir Winston Churchill $-$ Cho. The results show a very good approximation. Finally, a new correlation for predicting the free convective
heat transfer coefficient around the cylinder in electrolyte solutions will be
suggested. \odot 2014 Trade Science Inc. - INDIA heat transfer coefficient around the cylinder in electrolyte solutions will be

Heat transfer coefficient; Electrolyte solutions; Nusselt numbers; Winston Churchill–Cho number; Sodium chloride.

INTRODUCTION

Free convective heat transfer from cylindrical surfaces has been studied numerously during the past 45 of the e

years. propert

Königsberger et al. in 2008 studied on the density ing nan years.

of salt solutions of magnesium chloride and nickel chloride. Theyshowed the density and viscosity increases linearly with increasing concentration and also viscosity increases from 25 \degree C to 90 \degree C. Also, they stated heat capacity of the solutions is significantly reduced with increasing concentration.

Akhtar et al. in 2011 conducted research on some of the electrolyte solution. They showed the thermal properties of the solution significantly increased by adding nanoparticles.Wang et al. in 2011 studied on some of the electrolyte solution containing alkali ions. They stated increasing the alkali ions, increased thermal properties and also crystal structure of solution improved.

Zhang et al. in 2011 conducted a study on electro-

lyte complexes. They stated the ion concentration in the solutions affected their specifications significantly so, that some of them used as a catalyst. Maldonado et al in 2012 studied on the conductive heat transfer coeffi cient and diffusion coefficient of the electrolyte solution. They expressed the vapor phase of the liquid phase is effective on temperature and other thermal properties of the solution.

Part of the process including design, construction and calibration of a laboratory instrument with laboratory measurements of free convective heat transfer coefficient of a cylindrical shape to non-electrolyte solutions with different concentrations of the medium is proposed in this research. The specific purpose of this study is providing guidelines for the laboratory tests. The most 3. important issue is the method of temperature measurement in these experiments.

In initial design, cylindrical surface temperature is $4.$ measured by thermocouples which are located on the cylindrical surface but the results are unrealistic. So some location modification is proposed to reach acceptable 5. results that will be described comprehensively in the

following sections. Finally, recommendations are presented in order to get better results.

METHODOLOGY

Experimental apparatus

Points in terms of mechanical and thermal design of the device are as follows:

- 1. Possible heat flux must be distributed evenly to the entire surface of the cylindrical element by element to minimize thermal gradient along the axis.
- 2. Heating elements shall be insulated at both sides and heat loss from the sides may be reduced to a minimum.
- Supports with a low coefficient of thermal conductivity should be selected to have less heat loss. Here the base glass is used.
- In thermal sensors selection, installation and temperature range which measure have to be accurately enough.
- Machine installation and handling cost may be convenient.

Figure 1 : The proposed apparatus which is used in these experiments

Heating element

Research & Reolens On

An another and the cylindrical body as well as uniform distribution of heat flux.
 An Meanwhile, in order to reduce thermal energy loss co
 Research & Restance Dn
 Electrochemistry trical resistance and the cylindrical body as well as unimm and a length of 40 cm is used to create a constant heat flux boundary condition and an electrical resistor placed in line with the axis of the cylinder. The around of the cylinder is filled with completely smooth and hoform distribution of heat flux.

Meanwhile, in order to reduce thermal energy loss

A stainless steel cylinder with a diameter of 12.7 the aquarium (pool test), the element is completely inat the two ends of elements in contact with the walls of sulated at the junction of the wall and the glass pool by 2 cm glass wool which has low thermal conductivity coefficient of about $0.038W/m.K$. Figure 2 is a picture of the thermal element.

> As is shown, the resistance in the 367-355 range varies due to temperature. Thermocouple type J is used in temperature measuring of the cylindrical tube surface considering range of temperature variations and accu-

6

Full Paper

Figure 2 : View of the thermal element

racy required. Then four Thermocouples are closed by a clip in the middle section of the tube in equal distances from each other.

The middle section of the tube is selected because the temperature gradient along the tube is zero by taking inconsiderable partial loss of thermal energy at both ends of the insulation. A thermocouple is mounted at the junction of the distal end of the tube with the pool wall (insulated part). The thermocouple measures (Tb) which is used in the calculation of thermal energy loss (OL) .

Duration of test

Finding empirical steady state relations in experiments; so specified time should be spent in each of the thermal energyand the surface temperature should be constant. The time will depend on the type of fluid, the volume of fluid and the thermal element dimensions. The average time required to reach steady-state in the ex periments (constant surface temperature) at different values of thermal energy was about 80 min.

Outlines of test

- 1. The experimental apparatus is mounted in suitable place to minimize the amount of air flow in different directions.
- 2. Heating element is connected to a variable voltage source (Dimmer), a voltage meter has been installed between the elements and the Dimmer to read different amounts of thermal energy (QT) . The test is started with 60 watts of heat energy and repeated

Figure 3 : The used clip in the experiment and the schematic ofthermocoupleslocations

state conditions (constant surface temperature) are with 60, 80, 95, 110 and 125 W with changes in the amount of dimmer. It is obvious that the specific time about an hour is needed in any case to steadyreached.

- 3. The temperatures and the value of thermal energy (QT) are written down at steady-state conditions.
- 4. Due to the exchanged radiation thermal energy, mea-

Dissipated heat(w) - Temp(c)

Figure 4 : Experimental temperatures were compared with experimental results obtained by Sanchez and the results of Nusselt relations of trial and error obtained by Cho - Churchill for free convective heat transfer in the air.

Figure 5 : Comparison of experimental Nusselt with results obtained by the experimental nusselt relations, Sanchez and Cho-**Churchill for free convective heat transfer in the air.**

suring temperature in remote areas of the testing machine and the temperature of the pool walls of the fluid adjacent to the pool wall is just measured due to the volume of fluid and the small temperature difference between the wall of the pool and room.

5. Data is recorded and analyzed by the basic equations of heat transfer in order to reach the proper relation.

Calibration and introduction of free convective heattransfer equation for a cylinder with constant heat flux in air

Some experiments for free convective heat transfer *Indian*
Indian
Indian
<i>Indian Sournal are held in order to calibrate the instrument after design and manufacturing of the device. The obtained experimental results in air compared with experimental ob-

(Chamber walls) is necessary. But the temperature length to diameter $(L/D=T^M)$. Also, the results are comservations made by Mr. Churchill - Cho and Mr. Nusselt
which carried out for a cylinder with an infinite ratio of
length to diameter (L/D=[™](. Also, the results are comwhich carried out for a cylinder with an infinite ratio of pared with obtained results byMr. Sanchez, who also experimented with the limited ratio of cylinder length to diameter and under the close experimental conditions with the used conditions in this work.

Figure 6 :The proposed experimental instrument

Figure 7 : Comparison of experimental Nusselt obtained by testing the device with the Nusselt values obtained by Nusselt **correlation for free convection heat transfer in water**

TABLE2 :Thermal energy lossin different heat fluxes, free convection heat transfer in % 20 NaCLsolution

| QT watt | Oloss radiation | Oloss conduction | Oloss Total | OFree convection |
|------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| 65 | 1.8 | 3.35 | 5.15 | 59.85 |
| 85 | 2.42 | 4.76 | 7.18 | 77.82 |
| 110 | 2.8 | 5.01 | 7.81 | 102.19 |
| 125 | 3.16 | 5.5 | 8.66 | 116.34 |
| 175 | 4.47 | 7 | 11.47 | 163.53 |
| 210 | 5.17 | 8.5 | 13.67 | 196.33 |
| 240 | 5.53 | 9 | 14.53 | 225.47 |
| 280 | 6.5 | 10.01 | 16.51 | 263.49 |
| 320 | 7.414 | 10.612 | 18.026 | 301.974 |
| 400 | 9.159 | 11.124 | 20.283 | 379.717 |

Then comparison tables and calculations are pre sented in detail. TABLE 2 presents the temperature values measured at different values of power and envi-
round $\frac{C}{N} = 0.4326 \text{Ra}^{0.2902}$ ronment temperature.

convection energy loss by radiation from the surface of the cylin-In this part, obtained temperatures are compared with the experimental values obtained with Nusselt correlations, Cho - Churchill and Sanchez. So, thermal drical elements as well asthe convective heat loss of both ends must be calculated and then after deduction fromthe total power, actual amount of convective heat transfer achieved. Using relations and trial and error method calculations get the temperature.The results of these calculations are shown in Figure 4.

> Since most experimental Nusselt are as power functions of the dimensionless Rayleigh num-

 $\text{bers } \mathbf{Nu} = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{Ra}^{-n}$. Here the Nusselt numbers is fitted of the power function by the method of least squares. This function is presented for free heat transfer between air and cylinder with constant flux of heat:
 $\overline{Nu} = 0.4326 \text{Ra}^{0.2902}$ (1)

Figure 8 : Comparison of experimental Nusselt for % 20 NaCl water solution obtained by proposed instrument with

Figure 8 : Comparison of experimental Nusselt for % 20 NaCl water solution obtained by proposed instrum
Nusselt values obtained by fitting equation for free heat transfer $\overline{\textbf{Nu}} = 1.3003 \textbf{ Ra}^{0.1996}$.

**Vith
Indian** *Indian***
Indian Iournal**

EXPERIMENTS

Five different concentrations of sodium chloride electrolyte solution at 0, 20, 40, 60, 80 and 100 percent of mass are used in this study. The results of each case are
presented in detail in the form of tables and graphs.
Nu-Ra ⁻chart shows that experimental values are
solution presented in detail in the form of tables and graphs.

about 4.13 percent higher than Nu-Ra curve obtained from the correlation curve and is shifted upward in so-

Qloss total Qloss condu **Qloss QT radiation watt** 65 2 3/9 5/9 59/1 85 2/5 4/5 7 78 110 3/845 5/321 9/166 100/834 125 4 6/01 10/01 114/99 175 5/22 7/801 13/021 161/98 210 5/921 8/820 14/741 195/26 240 7/004 10/011 17/015 222/985 280 7/516 10/7 18/216 261/784 320 8/212 11/5 19/717 300/288 375 10/62 14/001 24/621 350/379

lution with 20% of the NaCl concentration.

Nu-Ra \neg chart shows that experimental values are about 5.29 percent higher than Nu-Ra curve obtained the correlation curve $\overline{N_{\text{U}}}$ = 1.3003 **Ra** ^{0.1996} and is shifted upward in solution with 40% of the NaCl concentration.

Nu-Ra \neg chart shows that experimental values are about 10.2 percent higher than Nu-Ra curve obtained

water

Figure 9 : Comparison of experimental Nusselt for % 40 NaCl water solution obtained by proposed instrument with Nusselt **Figure 9 :** Comparison of experimental Nusselt for % 40 NaCl water solution obtained by propose
values obtained by fitting equation for free heat transfer $\overline{\textbf{Nu}} = 1.3003 \textbf{ Ra}^{0.1996}$.

from the correlation curve From the correlation curve Nu
 $\overline{\text{Nu}} = 1.3003 \text{ Ra}^{0.1996}$ and is shifted upward in about 2

from the solution with 60% of the NaCl concentration. $\mathbf{R} = 1.3003 \text{ R} \mathbf{a}^{0.1996}$ and is shifted upward in
tion with 60% of the NaCl concentration.
Nu-Ra – chart shows that experimental values are

art shows that ex *Indian*
Indian
Indian
Indian bournal
Indian bournal about 16.51 percent higher than Nu-Ra curve obtained from the correlation curve and is shifted upward in solution with 80% of the NaCl concentration.

Nu-Ra \neg chart shows that experimental values are about 20.6 percent higher than Nu-Ra curve obtained from the correlation curve and is shifted upward in solution with 100% of the NaCl concentration.

RESULTSAND DISCUSSIONS

To compare the effect of different concentration of

Research & Reolews On

| QT watt | Qloss radiation | Qloss conduction | Qloss Total | OFree convection | QT watt | Qloss radiation | Qloss conduction | Qloss total | OFree convection |
|------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| 65 | 3/184 | 5/110 | 8/294 | 56/706 | 65 | 4.215 | 5.81 | 10.025 | 54.975 |
| 85 | 3/919 | 6/87 | 10/789 | 74/21 | 85 | 5.212 | 7.87 | 13.082 | 71.92 |
| 110 | 5/011 | 7/521 | 12/532 | 97/468 | 110 | 6.112 | 8.423 | 14.535 | 95.465 |
| 125 | 5/9 | 9/11 | 15/01 | 109/99 | 125 | 7.038 | 10.64 | 17.678 | 107.322 |
| 140 | 6/94 | 10/79 | 17/73 | 122/268 | 140 | 8.01 | 12.08 | 20.09 | 119.91 |
| 160 | 7/83 | 12/29 | 20/12 | 139/88 | 160 | 8.96 | 14.5 | 23.46 | 136.54 |
| 175 | 8/41 | 12/82 | 21/23 | 153/77 | 175 | 9.781 | 15.28 | 25.06 | 149.94 |
| 190 | 8/707 | 14/01 | 22/717 | 167/283 | 190 | 10.03 | 16.34 | 26.37 | 163.63 |
| 210 | 9/62 | 15/52 | 25/14 | 184/86 | 210 | 11.1 | 17.84 | 28.94 | 181.06 |
| 240 | 12/529 | 17/2 | 29/729 | 210/271 | 240 | 15.01 | 19.4 | 34.41 | 205.59 |

TABLE5 :Thermal energy lossin different heat fluxes, free convection heat transfer in % 80 NaCLsolution

TABLE6 :Thermal energy lossin different heat fluxes, free convection heat transfer in % 100 NaCL solution

-Nu-60%Nacl predicted by pure

Figure 10 : Comparison of experimental Nusselt for % 60 NaCl water solution obtained by proposed instrument with Nusselt **value by correlation**(Nu=1.3003Ra^0.1996)

Figure 10 : Comparison of experimental Nusselt for % 60 NaCl water solution obtained by propose

values obtained by fitting equation for free heat transfer $\overline{\rm Nu} = 1.3003 \ {\rm Ra$

Figure 11 : Comparison of experimental Nusselt for % 80 NaCl water solution obtained by proposed instrument with Nusselt **value by** corelation(Nu=1.3003Ra^0.1996)
Figure 11 : Comparison of experimental Nusselt for % 80 NaCl water solution obtained by propose
values obtained by fitting equation for free heat transfer $\overline{\textbf{Nu}} = 1.3003 \textbf{ Ra}^{$

sodium chloride in the resulting equations, the related Nusselt numbers are obtained using different assumed Rayleigh numbers in different solutions and general results are presented in the following tables and figures.

As mentioned in the Table and in the figure, increase the concentration of sodium chloride solution in water

Rayligh numbers in the curve for 20% sodium chloride *In chloride*
 Vard com
 Indian bournal
 Indian bournal Resugn numbers in the cut ve for 20% solution chronice
solution are shifted approximately 13.4% upward commakes values in the Nu-Ra curve higher than the Nu-Ra curve of pure water. So Nusselt values in terms of pared to the Nusselt values for pure water.

Similarly, for 40% sodium chloride it is about 5.29%

,for 60% sodium chloride solution it is approximately 10.2%, in 80% sodium chloride solution it is about

TABLE7 :Correctionfactorused in theproposedrelation for different concentrations of electrolyte solutions

| Electrolyte concentration (Wt%) | C |
|---------------------------------|--------|
| %20 | 1.0462 |
| %40 | 1.0540 |
| %60 | 1.1177 |
| %80 | 1.1949 |
| %100 | 1.2553 |

16.51% and for 100% sodium chloride solution it in creases about 20.6%.

Experiments indicate that the increase of the concentration of sodium chloride in water, make higher values of Nusselt number versus Rayleigh number. So, the curve of 100% Sodium Chloride solution is above the other curves, respectively 80%, 60%, 40% and 20% of NaCl concentration are at the lower positions.

In other words, the increasing trend will continue to 100% sodiumchloride concentration in water and this is clearlyshown in the Figure 13.

corelation(Nu=1.3003Ra^0.1996)

Figure 12 : Comparison of experimental Nusselt for % 100 NaCl water solution obtained by proposed instrument with **Nu-100%Nacl predicted by pure water**

corelation(Nu=1.3003Ra^0.1996)

Nusselt values obtained by fitting equation for free heat transfer $\overline{Nu} = 1.3003 \overline{Ra}^{0.1996}$

Figure 13 : Nusselt numbers compared to the fitted equations to solutions of different concentrations of sodium chloride in the **given rang**

CONCLUSION

AnEEL STATE IS A ANN AND THE VERSUS RAYLEIGH NUMBER CURVE BE- the *Indian*
Indian
Interactions
<i>Indian Iournal havior changes with different concentrations of sodium ent concentrations chloride in water, comparing with the curve for pure water it is linked to the interactions between the mo-

lecular of electrolyte solutions, theoretically.The obtained experimental results can be considered and can be further tested for other fluids and electrolytes, in theory. Finally, a relation for Nusselt number in different concentrations of electrolyte solutions is proposed and a correction factor in relation of the pure water is presented.

Research & Reviews On

$\overline{\mathbf{Nu}} = \mathbf{C} \times 1.3003 \mathbf{Ra}^{0.1996}$ (2)

The constant coefficient,, for electrolyte solutions at different mass concentrations, are as follows:

It is obvious that the constant coefficient, C, for different concentrations of electrolyte solutions can be achieved through interpolation between the values obtained.

REFERENCES

- [1] L.Reidel; Thermal conductivity- of Aqueous Solution of Strong lectrolytes, **3**, 59-64 **(1951)**.
- **[2]** J.Hass; Thermo chemical Properties of the Nacl Component, Virginia, **(1975)**.
- **[3]** W.Rohsenow; Handbook of Heat Transfer Funda mentals, **2**, 6-30 **(1985)**.
- **[4]** J.Kestin; Physical Properties of Aqueous Nacl Solution, California, **(1981)**.
- **[5]** I.Phillips; Basik Energy Properties of Electrolytic Solution, Sicily Italy, **(1975)**.
- **[6]** H.Zafar; Natural Convection From a Uniform Heat Flux Horizontal Numerical Heat Transfer, **11**, 199- 212 **(1987)**.
- **[7]** H.Ozbek; Thermal conductivity of Aqueous Nacl Solution From 20C to 330C, Sidney, **(1976)**.
- **[8]** F.Kreith; The CRC Hand book of Thermal Engi neering, Section2, **(2000)**.
- **[9]** J.Otto; Density of Naclsolution and Different Con centration, in preparation, **(1975)**.
- **[10]** F.Fowel; Smithsonian Physical Properties Table, Virginia, **(1954)**.
- **[11]** E.Kˆnigsberger, L.C.Kˆnigsberger, P.May, B.Harris; Properties of electrolyte solutions relevant to high concentration chloride leaching. II. Density, viscosity and heat capacity of mixed aqueous solutions of magnesium chloride and nickel chloride viscosity and heat capacity of mixed aqueous solutions of magnesium chloride and nickel chloride
measured to 90 °C, Hydrometallurgy, **90(2–4)**, 168-176 **(2008)**.
- **[12]** M.ShaheerAkhtar, Park Jung-Guen, Kim Ui-Yeon, Yang O-Bong; Composite electrolyts of polyethyl ene glycol methyl ether and TiO₂ for dye-sensitized solar cells, Effect of heat treatment, Materials Chemistry and Physics, **127(3)**, 479-483 **(2011)**.
- **[13]** Xiaoen Wang, Haolin Tang, Mu Pan; Durable perfluorosulfonic polymer electrolyte membranes Journal of Membrane Science, **379(1ñ2)**, 106-111 prepared from alkaline-ion-assisted heat treatment, **(2011)**.
- [14] Sheng S.Zhang, Xiaoming Ren, Jeffrey Read; Heattreated metal phthalocyanine complex as an oxy gen reduction catalyst for non-aqueous electrolyte Li/air batteries, ElectrochimicaActa, **56(12)**, 4544- 4548 **(2011)**.
- [15] Libeth Maldonado, Jean-Christophe Perrin, Jérôme Dillet; Olivier Lottin, Characterization of polymer electrolyte Nafion membranes: Influence of tem perature, heat treatment and drying protocol on sorption and transport properties, Journal of Membrane Science, **389**, 43-56 **(2012)**.

Full Paper